

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,442	07/26/2001	Masanao Kohashi	074129-0485 4440	
7	590 09/12/2002			
FOLEY & LA		EXAMINER		
Washington Ha		THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
3000 K Street, Washington, D	N.W. C 20007-5109	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1774	റ)
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ſ		Application No.		nlicent/s)	45-8			
		Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)				
		09/912,442	KC	DHASHI ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art	t Unit				
		Camie S Thompson	17					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) 🗌	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1	-4					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiremen	Iŧ.					
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PT tice of Informal Pater er:					

Application/Control Number: 09/912,442

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should not refer to claims.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al., U.S. 5,472,781.

Kim discloses a polyester multi-filamentary yarn comprising at least 90 mol percent of polyethylene terephthalate having an intrinsic viscosity of 0.85 dl/g wherein a dipped cord can be obtained as per instant claims 1-6 (see abstract; column 5, lines 1-2; column 12, lines 8-11 and 57-59). The strength and strength x (breaking elongation) of the polyester fiber and dipped cord comprising at least 90 mol percent of polyethylene terephthalate is greater than or equal to 6.0 cN/dtex and less than or equal to 26 cN/dtex% as these are physical properties of polyethylene terephthalate as per instant claims 1-4. Therefore, these features are inherent. In addition, the monofilament linear density, main dispersion peak temperature of loss tangent in the measurement of dynamic viscoelasticity at 110 Hz, the tenacity conversion efficiency in the

Application/Control Number: 09/912,442

Art Unit: 1774

dip treatment and the elongation at a specific load + dry heat shrinkage are less than or equal to 5.0 dtex, less than or equal to 147.0°C, greater than or equal to 96% and less than or equal to 7.5% respectively for the polyester multi-filamentary yarn and dipped cord comprising at least 90 mol % of polyethylene terephthalate are the physical properties of the polyethylene terephthalate as per instant claims 1 and 5-6. Therefore, these features are inherent. Claims 5 and 6 are product-by-process claims. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saito et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,491,657.

Saito teaches a polyester multifilament yarn and dipped cord comprising at least 90 mol percent of polyethylene terephthalate having an intrinsic viscosity of 0.80 to 1.20 dl/g as per instant claims 1-6 (see abstract; column 2, lines 30-39; and column 11). The strength and strength x (breaking elongation) ^{0.5} of the polyester fiber and dipped-cord-comprising at least 90 mol percent of polyethylene terephthalate is greater than or equal to 6.0 cN/dtex and less than or equal to 26 cN/dtex% ^{0.5} as these are physical properties of polyethylene terephthalate as per instant claims 1-

4. Therefore, these features are inherent. In addition, the monofilament linear density, main dispersion peak temperature of loss tangent in the measurement of dynamic viscoelasticity at 110 Hz, the tenacity conversion efficiency in the dip treatment and the elongation at a specific load +

Art Unit: 1774

dry heat shrinkage are less than or equal to 5.0 dtex, less than or equal to 147.0°C, greater than or equal to 96% and less than or equal to 7.5% respectively for the polyester multi-filamentary yarn and dipped cord comprising at least 90 mol % of polyethylene terephthalate are the physical properties of the polyethylene terephthalate as per instant claims 1 and 5-6. Therefore, these features are inherent. Claims 5 and 6 are product-by-process claims. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 872-9310 {before finals} and (703) 872-9311 {after finals}.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cytr#Kees